Defending Wi-Fi Network Discovery from Time **Correlation Tracking**

The Problem

MAC address randomization alone doesn't work to hide devices.

Devices can be tracked based on patterns in Wi-Fi discovery events to defeat MAC address randomization:

- Timing between probe request transmissions
- MAC randomization deployment inconsistencies
- Consistent delays between each probe request
- # of packet bursts to appear on a channel
- # of probe requests in each burst

Our Solution

Configure probe request transmissions

- Randomize the *ProbeDelay* parameter for random jitter
- Choose the channel(s) to scan default to all channels
- Optional, choose number of probes to scan per burst

Randomize the MAC address on each packet A per-packet basis makes a stronger defense

Transmit the probe request Frames wait a random *ProbeDelay* during network discovery

Evaluate with a Time-based Tracking Algorithm Collect stats on IFAT metrics to identify devices

References

E. Fenske et al. Three Years Later: A Study of MAC Address Randomization in Mobile Devices And When It Succeeds. In *PoPETs* '21. DOI: 10.2478/popets-2021-0042

C. Matte et al. Defeating MAC Address Randomization Through Timing Attacks. In *WiSec '16*. DOI: 10.1145/2939918.2939930

M. Vanhoef et al. Why MAC Address Randomization is Not Enough: An Analysis of Wi-Fi Network Discovery Mechanisms. In ASIA CCS '16. DOI: 10.1145/2897845.2897883







We defended against tracking Wi-Fi devices with breaking temporal patterns in wireless transmissions.



Probe request transmissions have a predictable Inter-frame Arrival Time (IFAT). This leaks a traceable transmission behavior for the device.





Our solution randomizes *ProbeDelay* on each frame to change IFATs between transmissions and break native temporal patterns.



Federico Cifuentes-Urtubey, Robin Kravets, and Deepak Vasisht Department of Computer Science, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

CS@ILLINOIS

COMPUTER SCIENCE